

# 2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

## DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

**PROVIDER NAME:** **Club Z! Tutoring**

**DISTRICTS SERVED:** Ft. Wayne Com. Schools, East Allen Cty. Schools, Logansport Com. Sch. Corp., Elkhart Com. Sch. Corp., New Castle Com. Sch. Corp., School City of East Chicago, Gary Com. Sch. Corp., School City of Hammond, Michigan City Area Schools, Anderson Com. Sch. Corp., MSD Lawrence Twp., MSD Perry Twp., Indianapolis Public Schools, Monroe Cty. Com. Sch. Corp., Spencer-Owen Com. Sch. Corp., Southwest Sch. Corp., Scott Cty. Sch. Dist. 1, Evansville-Vanderburgh Sch. Corp.

**# OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP:** **1,687** (English/Language Arts); **1,652** (Mathematics)

**2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES** (see report below for details)

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:** **B**

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

**SERVICE DELIVERY:** **B-**

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

**ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS:** **B-**

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

## CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

### PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 10%

Overall score: 3.7 out of 4.0

### DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 75%

District recommends continuation?: 75% of districts recommend continuation

### PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 41%

Overall Score: 2.9 out of 4.0

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE:** **B**

### **SERVICE DELIVERY**

#### **PARENT REPORT**

% of parents reporting: 10%

Overall score: 3.4 out of 4.0

#### **DISTRICT REPORT:**

% of districts reporting: 75%

Overall score: 73% (150/206 possible points)

#### **PRINCIPAL REPORT:**

% of principals reporting: 41%

Overall score: 3.0 out of 4.0

**ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:** 3.6 out of 4.0

Go to

(<http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/Club%20Z!Compiled%20Final%20Report%205-24-07.pdf>) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from 2006-2007

**SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:** **B-**

### **ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS**

**COMPLETION RATE:** 64%

**% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS** 95%

**TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:** KTEA, Indiana Standards Assessment

**% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS** 99%

**% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED  
80% OR MORE SESSIONS:**

78.7%

(Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

## ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

### SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by Club Z! in 2006-2007, 70% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in both English/Language Arts and Math, slightly trailing the statewide average for all SES students in both subjects. 50% of students served by Club Z! showed substantial (one year's) growth in English/Language Arts, slightly above the statewide average for all SES students, while 46% showed such growth in Math, slightly below the statewide average. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ increased slightly from 48% to 49% from 2006 to 2007 in English/Language Arts, but decreased from 57% to 54% in Math.

Category	Club Z (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	Club Z (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	536	1675	523	1645
% showing growth on ISTEP+ scale score	70%	71%	70%	73%
% showing substantial (one year's) growth on ISTEP+ scale score**	50%	49%	46%	49%
% passing ISTEP+ (2006)	48%	43%	57%	52%
% passing ISTEP+ (2007)	49%	42%	54%	51%

\*Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

\*\*Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

### SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For Club Z!, 308 matches out of 523 participating students (59%) were made for Math, and 342 matches out of 536 participating students (64%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES	308	59%	70%	42%	19.4	56%
Not SES			76%	49%	24.1	57%

As shown in the chart above, 76% of the non-SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to fewer (70%) of the SES students. 49% of the non-SES students showed substantial (one year's) growth, compared to a smaller percentage (42%) of the similar SES students. Slightly more non-SES students (57%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than SES students (56%) in the matched group.

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES	342	64%	72%	51%	17.9	51%
Not SES			71%	46%	14.9	46%

As shown in the chart above, 72% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to slightly fewer (71%) of the similar, non-participating students. 51% of SES students showed one year's growth, compared to 46% of the non-SES students. A slightly higher percentage of SES students (51%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than similar non-SES students (46%).

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages). Additional statistical analyses, including results disaggregated by district and grade level, will be conducted in the statewide evaluation of SES 2006-2007, to be released by the fall of 2008.

**ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE:      **B-****

**OVERALL GRADE:      **B-****